

1 **IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON**
2 **FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON**

3 In the Matter of the Property of:

4 CASE #: 25CV63661

5 TORT VALUE \$738,525 Judge: Honorable Ricardo J Menchaca.

6 **PLAINTIFF'S MOTION NOTICE OF APPEAL**

7
8 DAVID CHARLES WHITE Plaintiff (P)

9
10 v.

11
12 JULIA ANNETTE WHITE, Defendant (D1)

13
14 JAMES SHIPLEY (D2)

15
16 Judge: Honorable Ricardo J Menchaca.

17
18 David White, Plaintiff (P)

19 18965 NW Illahee St, Portland,

20 professordavewhite@gmail.com

21 OR 97229

22 503-608-7611

23
24 Julia Annette White (R1)

25 21408 SE 37th St.

26 Sammamish, WA 98075

27 good4thesoul@gmail.com

28 James T. Shipley (R2)

29 2233 NE 47th Ave.

30 Portland, OR 97213

1 Phone (503) 493-8383
2 503-493-8383 jtshipley@qwestoffice.net;
3 Mr. Grant D. Stockton Attorney for D2 OSB 024652
4 Brisbee & Stockton LLC
5 PO Box 567, Hillsboro, OR 97123.
6 gds@brisbeeandstockton.com

7 139 NE Lincoln Street
8 Hillsboro, OR 97124

9 **PARTIES AND CONTACT INFORMATION**
10

11 David White, Plaintiff (P)
12

AUTHORITIES CITED

- 1) ORS 14.250 Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate Judge2)
- Judges Code of Conduct, Canons
- 2) and
- <https://www.courts.oregon.gov/rules/Other%20Rules/CodeJudicialCo>
- [nduct.pdf](https://www.courts.oregon.gov/rules/Other%20Rules/CodeJudicialCo). Rule 3.4 prevents Ex Parte collusion.
- 3) https://www.oregon.gov/oah/Pages/Code_of_Ethics.aspx Remedy
- when Judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or
- personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the
- proceeding.
- 4) ORS 34.130 Writ of Mandamus.
- 5) ORS 135.733 Criminal Defamation.
- 6) ORS 19.270 Appeal jurisdiction
- 7) ORCP 7 C2 service of complaints.
- 8) ORS 124.010 Petition for relief
- 9) ORCP 7
- 10) ORS 162.075 False Statements, Concealment.
- 11) ORS 162.065 Perjury.
- 12) ORS 40.025 Rule 103. Rulings on evidence.
- 13) ORCP 71 Relief from a Judgment or Order.
- 14) 22–451 June 28th, 2024 Federal Case number 22–451
- in Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo and Relentless,
- Inc. V. Department of Commerce that all courts shall no longer
- function as administrative law courts.

1 https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/23pdf/22-451_7m58.pdf.

2 15) Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002): Pagtalunan
3 was Pro Se and made numerous mistakes in filing his complaint
4 resulting in the case being dismissed. However, upon appeal, the higher
5 Court ruled that the lower Court was in error because they did not give
6 allowance for Pagtalunan's lack of legal training. Appellant likewise
7 lacks formal legal training and respectfully requests the same allowance
8 the higher Court said Pagtalunan should have received. This case was
9 in Federal Court and the Appeals Court ruled that the lower courts must
10 give allowance for lack of legal training by Pro Se Appellants.

11 16) Oregon RPC 3.5(b) adequate notice must be given to the other side
12 before Ex Parte Communication is legal. Otherwise, illegal.

13 17) OAR 137-003-0055 Ex Parte Communications. Ex Party
14 communications are illegal.

15 **End of Authorities Cited**

16
17 **Background**

18
19 On August 4, 2022, Respondent James Shipley, on behalf of Defendant
20
21 Julia White, recorded a Lis Pendens against Plaintiff's residence without
22
23 prior notice to Appellant. Appellant contends that the filing was legally
24

1 improper and prevented the pending sale of his residence at a substantially
2
3 higher price, resulting in significant financial damages. The present lower
4
5 case tort action arises from that alleged wrongful filing and the damages it
6
7 caused, not from the underlying dissolution proceeding.

8
9 The underlying tort action was filed on December 3, 2025, and amended on
10
11 December 5, 2025. Although the Lis Pendens was subsequently released
12
13 in October 2024, Appellant contends that the resulting financial injury
14
15 remains actionable. Plaintiff further contends that the Trial Court's
16
17 Judgment should be vacated because it rests upon legal and procedural
18
19 errors identified throughout this Appeal. Appellant respectfully submits that
20
21 jurisdiction over this matter now rests with the Oregon Court of Appeals
22
23 pursuant to ORS 19.270 and ORCP 71 2B and that further proceedings in

the Trial Court are limited by law accordingly.

TRIAL COURT INFORMATION

Washington County Judge Honorable Ricardo J Menchaca

Case# 25CV63661

SECTION 1a

David White

SECTION 1b

Date of Judgement is 07/28/2026

SECTION 1c

Judge Honorable Ricardo J Menchaca

SECTION 1d

Yamhill County

SECTION 2

Appellant

I agree to receive all court correspondence by email. 503-608-7611,

research@cctruth.org

JULIA ANNETTE WHITE the liar

<https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/IQAma7yCh4ooTKijmWIN-ryJAUxuVva8eTUmQskSID304UY?e=ANq4ez>

1

SECTION 3

Julia White

David White Self Represented

1 *SECTION 4*

2 Designation of record. Appellant designates only the docket list in
3
4 the trial court record.

5
6 **SECTION 5**

7 appellant appeals the judgment entered on 07/28/2026 and trial
8 court docket record.

9 Illegal closing. 07/28/2026 Closed

10 07/28/2026 Notice - Judgment Entry

11 07/30/2026 Motion

12 Comment to deny defendant's pleadings

13 07/30/2026 Order - Proposed

14 Comment

15 not signed

16
17 **SECTION 6**

18 This appeal is filed within 30 days after Trial Court Judgement of

19
20 7/28/2026

21
22 *SECTION 7*

23 **RELIEF REQUESTED**

24 Appellant respectfully requests that the Court of Appeals:

25 Reverse or vacate the Trial Court's Judgment and remand the
26
27 matter for Further proceedings consistent with Oregon law.
28

1 Determine whether the Trial Court erred in considering
2
3 Respondents untimely filings after Appeals Court contends the
4 case had entered default, and whether those filings complied with
5
6 the applicable Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure, the Uniform Trial
7
8 Court Rules, and the procedural protections afforded to Appellant.
9
10 Grant such further relief as the Court determines is just and
11
12 appropriate under the law.

13
14 Appellant further requests that any ADA accommodations
15
16 previously approved in the Trial Court be afforded during these
17
18 appellate proceedings to ensure meaningful access to the judicial
19
20 process.

21
22 CERTIFICATE OF FILING
23

24 I certify that on 08/06/2026 , Appellant filed the notice of appeal in
25
26 case 25CV63661 with the State Appeals Court Administrator at the
27
28 following address:
29 by the following method of filing:

- 30 ☒ X Electronic Filing through the court's eFiling system
31 ☒ X Other (specify): jtshiple@qwestoffice.net,

1 good4thesoul@gmail emailed by the email service on
2 thelawisyourattorney.com

3 X U. S. Mail.

4 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

5
6 I certify that on 08/06/2026, Appellant served a true copy of
7 the notice of appeal to the following parties at the addresses
8 set forth below.

9 **RESPONDENT:** Julia Annette White, by her untruthful legal
10 counsel JAMES SHIPLEY the liar.

11 [https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/IQDaQECqu7DUTJAEcjI5L](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/IQDaQECqu7DUTJAEcjI5LAIARWnmXUOm5J3EG5e8T8hsnE?e=jJJMEq)
12 [AIARWnmXUOm5J3EG5e8T8hsnE?e=jJJMEq](https://1drv.ms/b/c/d172f747c79ee46a/IQDaQECqu7DUTJAEcjI5LAIARWnmXUOm5J3EG5e8T8hsnE?e=jJJMEq)

13 **good4thesoul@gmail.com**

14 **Respondent 2** jtshipley@qwestoffice.net
15

16 **TRIAL COURT ADMINISTRATOR: TRIAL COURT**
17 **ADDRESS**

18 Washington County Court

19 wsh.transcriptcoordinator@ojd.state.or.us

20 Washington County

21 150 N 1st Ave MS 37 Hillsboro

22 97124
23

24
25 **TRANSCRIPT COORDINATOR: TRIAL COURT ADDRESS**

26
27 Washington County Court

28 wsh.transcriptcoordinator@ojd.state.or.us

29 Washington County

30 150 N 1st Ave MS 37 Hillsboro 97124
31
32

1
2
3
4
5 by the following method of service:
6
7

8 **INDICATE METHOD OF SERVICE**

9 ☐ Hand delivery

10
11 ☒ X e filed to Julia White good4thesoul@gmail and JAMES
12 SHIPLEY jtshipley@qwestoffice.net

13
14 By e-filing the notice in Washington Court and by email service on
15 thelawisyourattorney.com ORCP 9 C 3 and G says any court
16 document may be emailed by a 3rd party service.
17

18 DATE: 08/06/2026 SIGNATURE:
19

20 

21 ORAP 5.05 (2) certificate

22 (i) The brief complies with the word-count limitation in
23 paragraph (1)(b) of this subsection by indicating the
24 number of words in the brief. The person preparing
25 the certificate may rely on the word count of the word
26 processing system used to prepare the brief. If the
27 attorney, or a self-represented party, does not have
28 access to a word-processing system that provides a
29 word count, the certificate must indicate that the
30 attorney, or self-represented party, does not have
31 access to such a system and that the brief complies
32 with paragraph (1)(c) of this subsection.

33 The original brief must include a certificate of compliance with brief
34 length and type size requirements of ORAP 5.05(4)(f) (minimum 14
35 point for proportionally spaced type). You must also certify that the
36 brief complies with the brief length requirements of ORAP 5.05(2),
37 either by word count or, if you don't have access to a word-
38 processing system that provides a word count, by page limit. You

1 must sign the certificate; your signature attests that the brief in fact
2 complies with those requirements. The certificate shall immediately
3 precede or be on the same page as the proof of service.
4 Brief complies with 14-type font.
5 Word count 1578 Maximum 5000
6 Page count 22.

Leland Dale Jossy 08/06/2026



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on 08/06/2026, Appellant served a true copy of the amended notice of appeal to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

NOTE: If an address is not already provided below then you must fill in the address for each party that you serve. If no address is present, then the court will assume that you did not serve that party.

RESPONDENT:

OTHER PARTIES: NAME AND ADDRESS
by the following method of service:
INDICATE METHOD OF SERVICE

X e filed and emailed to Julia Whit and Jim Shipley,
by the email service ofthelawisyourattorney.com. ORCP 9
C (3) and g service by email for any court pleading
is legal in Oregon.

The email service is accepted as legal service by these courts.

Jackson County Courts

Yamhill County Courts

Washington County Courts

Oregon Appeals Court

Oregon Supreme Court.

Federal Courts

Federal Appeals Court

US Supreme Court



DATE: 08/06/2026

Dave White

Appendix

Exhibit one: The illegal no case facts final judgment. This illegal judgement is not supported by the docket list. The two certificates of service are not legal because documents were sent to disabled plaintiff 4 hours prior to filing in violation of UTCR 5.100 which requires seven days for Self represented. ORS 161.450 says it is a Felony of Defendants to conspire to include the fraudulent dissolution into this case. In addition, by ORCP 28 - Joinder of parties it was illegal for Shipley and Stockton to bring up anything about the divorce without my as plaintiffs permission. Therefore, the Order below is illegal and must be vacated. And by Previous prosecution cant be filed again.

This illegal no facts ruling caused disabled Plaintiff massive pain in his

1 pinched sciatic nerve. See included Appellants truthful case comment's

2

3 included on pages 7-9 in this exhibit.

4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON	
DAVID CHARLES WHITE,	
Plaintiff,	No. 25CV63661
v.	GENERAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
JULIA ANNETTE WHITE, and JAMES SHIPLEY,	
Defendants.	

This matter came before the Honorable Judge Ricardo J. Menchaca on May 29, 2026, for an evidentiary hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff appeared *pro se*. Defendant James Shipley appeared through his attorney, Grant D. Stockton. Defendant Julie White appeared through her attorney, James Shipley. The Order granting dismissal to all Defendants, dated June 26, 2026, having been granted, and the claims against all Defendants dismissed, judgment of dismissal with prejudice is appropriate.

///
///
///
///
///
///

1
2

25CV63661

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON

DAVID CHARLES WHITE,
Plaintiff,

v.

JULIA ANNETTE WHITE, and JAMES
SHIPLEY,
Defendants.

No. 25CV63661

**GENERAL JUDGMENT OF
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

This matter came before the Honorable Judge Ricardo J. Menchaca on May 29, 2026, for an evidentiary hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff appeared *pro se*. Defendant James Shipley appeared through his attorney, Grant D. Stockton. Defendant Julie White appeared through her attorney, James Shipley. The Order granting dismissal to all Defendants, dated June 26, 2026, having been granted, and the claims against all Defendants dismissed, judgment of dismissal with prejudice is appropriate.

3

1 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that a Judgment of
2 Dismissal be entered and all claims against all Defendants are dismissed with prejudice.
3 Costs and attorney fees to be determined pursuant to ORCP 68.

4 7/24/2026 3:03:12 PM

5
6 Circuit Court Judge, Ricardo Menchaca
7
8
9

10 Submitted By:
11 Joseph A. Pickels, OSB # 194920
12 jap@brisbeeandstockton.com
13 Of Attorneys for Defendant James Shipley
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



Re: White v. White/Shiple - Proposed Orders

From Joseph Pickels <jap@brisbeeandstockton.com>
Date Mon 7/13/2026 1:00 PM
To Prof Dave White <professordavewhite@gmail.com>
Cc Hannah Dahlen <HD@brisbeeandstockton.com>; Melissa McKibben <mm@brisbeeandstockton.com>

Are you objecting to the orders, then.

Joseph A. Pickels | Senior Associate
jap@brisbeeandstockton.com
BRISBEE & STOCKTON LLC
 Physical Address | 139 NE Lincoln Street, Hillsboro, OR 97124
 Mailing Address | PO Box 567, Hillsboro, OR 97123
 Phone 503.648.6677 | Fax 503.648.1091

NOTICE: This email and attached files are intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom they are addressed. This email is intended to be confidential and may contain information which is privileged or otherwise prohibited by law from disclosure, copying, or distribution. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and delete the original message. Thank you

From: Prof Dave White <professordavewhite@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2026 12:59 PM
To: Joseph Pickels <jap@brisbeeandstockton.com>
Cc: Hannah Dahlen <HD@brisbeeandstockton.com>; Melissa McKibben <mm@brisbeeandstockton.com>
Subject: Re: White v. White/Shiple - Proposed Orders

The Presiding Judge knows it was illegal to do this.

On Mon, Jul 13, 2026 at 12:02 PM Joseph Pickels <jap@brisbeeandstockton.com> wrote:
 Mr. White -

Attached herein are two proposed Order's consistent with Judge Menchaca's Opinion Letter of June 26, 2026.

These include:

- General Judgment of Dismissal
- Order Declaring David Charles White an Abusive Litigant.

Pursuant to UTCR 5.100(1)(c) you have 7-days to provide any objections to these proposed Orders before I file them.

Regards,

Joseph A. Pickels | Senior Associate
jap@brisbeeandstockton.com

Page 1 of 2



FW: White v. White/Shipley - Proposed Orders

From Lawyer <lawyer@thelawisyourattorney.com>

Date Mon 7/13/2026 2:41 PM

To wsh.guptillstaff@ojd.state.or.us <wsh.guptillstaff@ojd.state.or.us>; 'WSH Menchacastaff' <WSH.Menchacastaff@ojd.state.or.us>

Cc Grant Stockton <gds@brisbeeandstockton.com>; Hannah Dahlen <HD@brisbeeandstockton.com>; Joseph Pickels <jap@brisbeeandstockton.com>; 'Jim Shipley' <jtshipley@qwestoffice.net>

2 attachments (195 KB)

Proposed Order Gen Dismissal 7-13-26.pdf; Proposed Order Re Litigant 7-13-26.pdf;

None of what is in this document is true. These were untruthful statements of Mr. Stockton and Mr. Shipley. This is the only Proposed Order attached to file.

This email service with delivery receipts has been accepted by the following courts with more courts weekly

Jackson County Oregon Courts.
Washington County Oregon Courts
Yamhill County Oregon Courts
Oregon Court of appeals.
Oregon Supreme Court
Federal Courts.
Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
U. S Supreme Court

.Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)". says (e)(1) "following state law for serving a summons in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is located or where service is made; However, by Oregon law email service is allowed. UTRC 8 21.10 (2) explains a document may be a pleading or many other documents. ORCP 9 C 3 and g also says any court document may be served by email even if recipient doesn't want it. Proof of service by e-mail. If service is made by e-mail under section G 8 of this rule, proof of service shall be made by affidavit or by declaration of the person making service, or by certificate of an attorney, stating either that the other party has consented to service by e-mail or that he or she received confirmation that the message and attachment were received by the designated recipient and specifying the method by which the sender received confirmation. An automatically generated message indicating that the recipient is out of the office or is otherwise unavailable cannot support the required certification, nor can an automatically generated e-mail delivery status notification.

Thelawisyourattorney.com has legal service available for the service of court pleadings in state or federal court. A federal Attorney with 40 years' experience for consulting in

Federal Cases and more.

From: Prof Dave White <professordavewhite@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 13, 2026 1:22 PM

To: Lawyer <lawyer@thelawisyourattorney.com>

Subject: Fwd: White v. White/Shipley - Proposed Orders

Page 1 of 2

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Prof Dave White** <professordavewhite@gmail.com>
 Date: Mon, Jul 13, 2026 at 1:00 PM
 Subject: Fwd: White v. White/Shiple - Proposed Orders
 To: Prof Dave White <professordavewhite@gmail.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joseph Pickels** <jap@brisbeeandstockton.com>
 Date: Mon, Jul 13, 2026 at 12:02 PM
 Subject: White v. White/Shiple - Proposed Orders
 To: professordavewhite@gmail.com <professordavewhite@gmail.com>
 Cc: Hannah Dahlen <HD@brisbeeandstockton.com>, Melissa McKibben
 <mm@brisbeeandstockton.com>

Mr. White -

Attached herein are two proposed Order's consistent with Judge Menchaca's Opinion Letter of June 26, 2026.

These include:

- General Judgment of Dismissal
- Order Declaring David Charles White an Abusive Litigant.

Pursuant to UTCR 5.100(1)(c) you have 7-days to provide any objections to these proposed Orders before I file them.

Regards,

Joseph A. Pickels | Senior Associate

jap@brisbeeandstockton.com

BRISBEE & STOCKTON LLC

Physical Address | 139 NE Lincoln Street, Hillsboro, OR 97124

Mailing Address | PO Box 567, Hillsboro, OR 97123

Phone 503.648.6677 | Fax 503.648.1091

NOTICE: This email and attached files are intended for the sole use of the person(s) to whom they are addressed. This email is intended to be confidential and may contain information which is privileged or otherwise prohibited by law from disclosure, copying, or distribution. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and delete the original message. Thank you

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing **GENERAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL**
WITH PREJUDICE on the following by the method(s) indicated below:

Mr. David C. White
18965 NW Illahe Street
Portland, OR 97229
E-Mail:
professordavewhite@gmail.com

☒ Odyssey eFile & Serve
☒ Email
☐ Fax
☒ First-Class Mail

Pro Se Plaintiff

James T. Shipley
Lygo & Shipley, LLP
2233 NE 47th Ave
Portland, OR 97213
E-mail:
jtshipley@lygoshipley.com

☒ Odyssey eFile & Serve
☒ Email
☐ Fax
☐ First-Class Mail

*Defendant & Attorney for
Defendant Julia White*

DATED this 13th day of July, 2026.

By: /s/Joseph A. Pickels
Joseph A. Pickels, OSB #194920
jap@brisbeeandstockton.com
Of Attorneys for Defendant Shipley